1	
2	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN
3	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
4	x
5	BOARD MEETING
6	HELD BY CONFERENCE CALL
7	
8	March 25, 2020 12:31 p.m.
9	12:31 p.m.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	* * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	MEMBERS: FREDERICK C. BRAUN, III
5	MARTIN CALLAHAN FELIX J. GRUCCI, JR.
6	GARY POLLAKUSKY ANN-MARIE SCHEIDT
7	FRANK C. TROTTA
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT: LISA M.G. MULLIGAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
10	LORI LaPONTE, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER JAMES M. TULLO, DEPUTY DIRECTOR
11	·
12	AMY ILLARDO, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT ANNETTE EADERESTO, ESQ., AGENCY COUNSEL
13	WILLIAM F. WEIR, ESQ., NIXON PEABODY HOWARD R. GROSS, ESQ.,
14	WEINBERG GROSS & PERGAMENT, LLP ANDREW D. KOMAROMI, ESQ., HARRIS BEACH, PLLC
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	MR. BRAUN: Minutes of our meeting of
3	February 26th have been sent to everyone.
4	Can I have a motion to accept?
5	MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
6	MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Gary.
7	MR. TROTTA: Second.
8	MR. BRAUN: Any questions on those
9	minutes, corrections, clarifications?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, all those in
12	favor and we'll go through the list.
13	Fred Braun votes yes.
14	Marty Callahan?
15	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
16	MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky?
17	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Aye.
18	MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta?
19	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
20	MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci?
21	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
22	MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt?
23	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
24	MR. BRAUN: The motion carries. Thank
25	you.

et cetera.

2	Lori, on our CFO report.
3	MS. LaPONTE: For the month of
4	February, the operating statement will be
5	presented at the April meeting once we have
6	everyone's approval on it. I also wanted to
7	go through some other items to bring to your
8	attention.
9	All PILOT payments have been received
10	and disbursed as well as any payroll taxes
11	that were due. Everything's been disbursed.
12	Our audit has been completed by AVZ.
13	We have received a draft and have sent them
14	comments that we will be updating, they will
15	update everything.
16	Another item I want to mention is the
17	interest rate, we were notified yesterday by
18	Empire that the interest rate has dropped from
19	1.25 to 0.5 on our investment account.
20	I also want to mention that we have
21	been processing all checks, disbursements,
22	payments and although we're working remotely,
23	we have access and tomorrow Jocelyn and I will
24	be going in the office just picking up mail,

2	Any questions?
3	MR. BRAUN: The question which we
4	talked about a little bit in emails yesterday,
5	the effect of the interest rate drop on our
6	projected interest income for the year.
7	MS. LaPONTE: Yes.
8	So I did some analysis looking at our
9	budget, where we're at on the interest. We
10	were ahead of where the budget would be until
11	this, so we're probably at least a little
12	under 10,000 behind the budget number for
13	interest.
14	MR. BRAUN: For the full year?
15	MS. LaPONTE: For the full year based
16	on this drop.
17	MR. BRAUN: Yes.
18	MS. LaPONTE: Assuming that again, I
19	don't know how much of our cash will change,
20	you know, what projects will and won't close,
21	so it's kind of just using a rough estimate of
22	where the cash will continue for the rest of
23	the year.
24	MR. BRAUN: Okay.
25	Any questions for Lori?

2	MS. LaPONTE: And I just want to add
3	that PARIS is normally due March 31st and part
4	of PARIS is the inclusion of our audited
5	financial statements, which have not been
6	finalized yet and given to the board for their
7	approval, so PARIS will be delayed. There are
8	also some components of PARIS that are being
9	delayed because we need some certain
10	information from projects and certain
11	information that we have to sit down and
12	review in detail, so we are not going to be
13	achieving that deadline.
14	MR. GRUCCI: I don't know if I missed
15	your comment on this, but has the PILOT
16	payments gone out and if not, when will they
17	go out?
18	MS. LaPONTE: All of the PILOT payments
19	for the first half have been disbursed prior
20	to this situation happening.
21	MS. MULLIGAN: Months ago.
22	MS. LaPONTE: So the
23	MR. GRUCCI: When is the next round of
24	PILOT's due?
25	MS. LaPONTE: So the next half would

2	have been due in June, but there is a memo
3	that the Town of Brookhaven has looking to
4	Suffolk County and I don't know if Annette
5	knows more than this, but looking for an
6	extension of the second half to extend to
7	August 1st.

MS. EADERESTO: Yeah. The County exec. on a call I was on seemed to indicate he may be doing that. It wouldn't be for lending institutions, who have already collected that money, it would be for people that, you know, didn't have lending institutions paying their taxes. That has not been done yet. It's a request. The County executive indicated he would be favorable to doing that; however, the County leg. would have to be contacted, so it's still in the very beginning stages of possibly happening.

MR. GRUCCI: So then at this point in time we're still obligated to send them out by the end of June?

MS. EADERESTO: No, by May 1st.

MR. GRUCCI: By May 31st.

MS. MULLIGAN: It's due.

2	MS. EADERESTO: Correct.
3	MS. MULLIGAN: As it stands right now,
4	it's due to us by May 31st, postmarked by
5	May 31st.
6	MS. EADERESTO: The only thing it is,
7	they were suggesting that you would have till
8	August 1st to pay them without penalty or
9	interest, that's what the suggestion is by the
10	board of supervisors and the County exec.
11	seemed to look favorably on that, but
12	nothing's been done as far as legislation.
13	MS. MULLIGAN: Bill, Howard, Annette,
14	correct me if I'm mistaken, but my
15	understanding is we could extend our deadline
16	regardless of what the County chooses; could
17	we adjust ours?
18	MS. EADERESTO: On the PILOT's you
19	mean?
20	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
21	MS. EADERESTO: Bill, Howard; I mean
22	we'd have to redo the PILOT agreements I'm
23	assuming, amend them.
24	MR. WEIR: Yeah.
25	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.

2	MR. WEIR: Depending how much is
3	involved, it may require public hearings on
4	each one.
5	MS. EADERESTO: Nah.
6	MR. WEIR: Although, you know, again,
7	if people are late sending it in, the trouble
8	is the IDA statute and the PILOT agreements,
9	themselves, do say that any late PILOT
10	payments, you have to charge interest and
11	penalties by law. I mean I suppose you could
12	hold a public hearing and to amend all PILOT's
13	to give a 60-day grace period, but, you know,
14	that would be and you know, even if the
15	County extends the date for tax payments,
16	villages, you know, may not, so
17	MR. GROSS: So what about school
18	districts?
19	MR. WEIR: School districts are in the
20	same as the general taxes.
21	MS. MULLIGAN: So if the County does
22	extend that, does that automatically extend
23	ours or are we totally separate?
24	(No response.)
25	MS. MULLIGAN: Can we follow suit with

2	the County or would we still have to hold a
3	public hearing extending all of our
4	MS. EADERESTO: Yeah, no.
5	The County's actions would not affect
6	PILOT's in my opinion.
7	MR. WEIR: I agree.
8	Well, I think that would be the basis
9	to hold a public hearing, to do a blanket
10	amendment for all your PILOT's. I would wait
11	and see what the County does.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
13	MR. BRAUN: If they do nothing or even
14	if they act, this would be something we'd have
15	to take up at the April meeting, correct, May
16	wouldn't give us enough time?
17	MS. EADERESTO: Correct. I would say
18	the April meeting and this is like a
19	day-by-day, minute-by-minute almost crisis, so
20	I think we should take it up again in April.
21	MR. BRAUN: Okay.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: I'm putting it on my
23	notes.
24	MR. GRUCCI: As it stands now, I'd be
25	inclined to offer some relief to people who

2	are suffering under these closures to make
3	their PILOT payments, I think that would be
4	the appropriate thing to do.
5	MS. MULLIGAN: I think we'd have to
6	hold a public hearing first, right, we
7	couldn't
8	MS. EADERESTO: Yes.
9	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
10	MS. EADERESTO: So let's just bring it
11	up again in April, we'll know where we are
12	then. When's our April meeting?
13	MS. MULLIGAN: April 15th.
1 4	MS. EADERESTO: Well, I think we're
15	going to have at least a little more clarity
16	on when things are opening back up in April.
17	I'm not saying they're opening then, but maybe
18	we'll have a little more clarity on how long
19	this is going to go.
2 0	MR. BRAUN: Agreed.
21	MR. GRUCCI: At the April meeting, the
22	April 15th meeting and the board takes an
23	action to go forward with some relief for the
2 4	payments, how long will it take us to get that
2.5	nublic hearing up and running, will we have

2	enough time to meet the May 31st deadline, I
3	mean that's about 45 days?
4	MS. MULLIGAN: I see Felix's point.
5	If we vote in April to hold the public
6	hearing, then we'll be in our May meeting
7	before the board can vote to pass the
8	resolution unless the vote in April can have
9	something that says pending the public hearing
10	it moves forward?
11	MR. GROSS: Or do you want to hold a
12	public hearing and then decide whether or not
13	you want to pursue it?
14	MR. GRUCCI: I think that's a little
15	dangerous, only to the extent that if we can't
16	do it, if we hold the public hearing and
17	announce to the world that we may be
18	considering some forgiveness of time and not
19	be able to do it, I think that would put us in
20	a worse position.
21	MS. EADERESTO: Why couldn't we just
22	hold a special meeting if we have to, you
23	know, in other words, not wait until the May
24	meeting, you know, we should have enough time?
25	MS. MULLIGAN: Joc, when do those bills

2	usually go out?
3	MS. LINSE: We send them out at the
4	beginning of May.
5	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
6	MS. EADERESTO: Understood, but if we
7	are contemplating public hearings, you could
8	just put a notice in it with the bill.
9	MR. BRAUN: If we decide to move
10	forward with that at the April 15th meeting,
11	notice for a public hearing is ten days or 14
12	days?
13	MS. MULLIGAN: Ten.
14	MS. EADERESTO: Ten.
15	MS. MULLIGAN: But if you include the
16	time that it takes to get it to Newsday and
17	get it posted, it's like 12, 13 days, like
18	that, depending on the day of the week.
19	MR. BRAUN: So we could still have a
20	public hearing before the end of April, which
21	would give us enough time for the May PILOT
22	payments.
23	MS. MULLIGAN: You could have a special
2 4	meeting May 1st.

MS. EADERESTO: Correct me if I'm

2	wrong, they're due the 31st of May, correct?
3	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, that's correct.
4	MS. EADERESTO: So we're just sending
5	the letters out May 1st, so it's not as time
6	sensitive as we're making it.
7	MR. BRAUN: Correct.
8	MS. MULLIGAN: We could do it one of
9	two ways, we could either send it out and say
10	we're contemplating an extension, but be aware
11	that it's due, this is the amount and that
12	we're looking to an extension, but we could
13	also in the April 15th meeting schedule the
14	public hearing, have a special meeting May 1st
15	and then send a letter out that says you have
16	until whatever date we chose to pay them.
17	Either way we could get the letters out early
18	May.
19	MS. EADERESTO: Right. I think what
20	you said the second way, we'll know April 15th
21	what we're doing
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
23	MS. EADERESTO: and we'll probably
24	just do that second notification. Here's what
25	your bill is, it's still going to be due, but

2	by resolution of the IDA adopted May 1st or
3	whatever day we can get in, you have till
4	blah, blah without penalty.
5	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
6	MS. EADERESTO: And one more thing, I'm
7	sorry.
8	MR. BRAUN: Could we not at the
9	April 15th meeting, if we decide to move in
10	that direction, approve it there subject to
11	the public hearing rather than have a special
12	meeting after the public hearing?
13	MS. EADERESTO: Bill, wouldn't you have
1 4	to adopt it after the public hearing?
15	MR. WEIR: You would adopt it after the
16	public hearing, yeah.
17	MS. EADERESTO: So that's why we need
18	the special meeting.
19	MR. BRAUN: Okay.
20	MS. EADERESTO: But again, we should
21	also look at what businesses are still open
22	because, you know, governments are going to
23	need money, too, speaking as a government
2 4	attorney and if the pharmaceuticals haven't
25	closed down and if the construction companies,

you know, they've been deemed essential, so
you may not want to do a blanket on this,
either because if we're just giving people
more time to pay their PILOT's, we're just
hurting school districts.

MR. GRUCCI: I agree with that.

The relief that we should be thinking about should be for those people who have been affected by the shutdown. All these little small businesses and restaurants and whatever else that may have come to the IDA over the course of the years and now we're responsible for making their PILOT payments, if they're not having any revenue stream, we should be considering giving them some relief and in that to that extent, I would not hold out hope if we can't do it, then we don't have any kind of a contemplation that we're doing it.

In this world of uncertainty now, I think we need to be certain if we're going to do this, then we should just describe how we're going to do it and when we're going to do it.

MS. EADERESTO: Okay. So we'll do that

2	on April 15th. It's my understanding we're
3	going to take it up on the April 15th meeting.
4	MS. MULLIGAN: It's on the agenda.
5	MR. BRAUN: We can't be selective. If
6	we decide to do it, it will be for all
7	approximately 80 projects that we have.
8	MS. EADERESTO: Bill, do you agree with
9	that; if a business has not been affected or
10	closed down by the COVID-19 emergency, why
11	couldn't we take it on a case-by-case basis?
12	MR. BRAUN: It's too subjective.
13	MS. EADERESTO: How is that subjective?
14	The governor has termed certain
15	businesses essential and certain businesses
16	nonessential. That's how you base it.
17	MR. GROSS: I agree with Annette. I
18	think that we could ask each company to advise
19	us whether or not they're an essential company
20	and they're operating or they're a
21	nonessential company and they've closed their
22	business and do they have any need for
23	assistance in terms of relief.
24	MR. BRAUN: Just because they're deemed
25	essential doesn't mean they're operating at

2	the same capacity that they were prior to this
3	problem, so they're all affected.
4	MS. EADERESTO: I don't agree.
5	MR. GROSS: But maybe we should be
6	asking them, are you essential, how have you
7	been affected, we can even ask them now
8	it's a lot of work, though, that's the problem
9	and then you could analyze each one and decide
L 0	what you want to do with each one.
1	MS. LaPONTE: The metrics for essential
12	could always change to vital, so
13	MR. BRAUN: Let me make a suggestion.
4	I don't think we're going to solve the problem
15	today. It will be on the agenda for
L 6	April 15th. I suspect there will be a lot of
17	discussion among Lisa, her team and the board
L 8	between now and April 15th and then we can
19	decide what we want to do then.
20	MR. GRUCCI: I agree.
21	MR. GROSS: I agree.
22	MR. CALLAHAN: Lisa, you've got it on
23	the agenda now, right?
2.4	MS. MULLIGAN: It's in my notes for the
2.5	April meeting.

2	MR. CALLAHAN: All right.
3	MR. BRAUN: This is still part of
4	Lori's report, so I need a motion to accept
5	her report.
6	MR. CALLAHAN: So moved.
7	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Second.
8	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
9	Lisa, I'll turn it back to you.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
11	The next item on our agenda is an
12	application that we received, it was included
13	in your packets, it's from a company called UI
1 4	Digital. They have a subtenant that they're
15	asking permission to move into the building.
16	The subtenant is called KGK Wholesale Tire.
17	They will replace a tenant that recently
18	vacated the space. It's about 1,800 square
19	feet of space that's going to be rented and it
2 0	will result in one to two employees.
21	So we have the application to sublease,
22	the application for the subtenant for your
23	approval and a resolution.
2 4	Howard, did you have anything to add to
25	this?

2	MR. GROSS: Just that if the board
3	approves it, then we would require a tenant
4	agency compliance agreement to be executed.
5	MR. GRUCCI: I just have a question for
6	the legal team.
7	If nonessential businesses are being
8	asked to shut down and not operate and we get
9	an application for what would be considered a
10	nonessential business, should we be handling
11	that application now or wait for that order to
12	be lifted?
13	MR. GROSS: Bill and Annette, I don't
14	know how you feel about it. I don't see any
15	reason why you can't analyze it and decide
16	whether or you want to proceed with the
17	project when things become more normal. I
18	suspect that the company isn't going to be
19	interested in proceeding quickly under these
20	current circumstances.
21	MS. EADERESTO: Well, the only thing is
22	the repair shops are open and essential.
23	MS. MULLIGAN: True.
2 4	MS. EADERESTO: So they might want them
2.5	to move in. You see, that's where some people

2	are having booms, like hardware stores and
3	Home Depots and tires still might want to go
4	because there's still a lot of potholes out
5	there.
6	MR. GROSS: I apologize.
7	I thought, Felix, you asked me a

question in general as opposed to specifically for this company.

MR. GRUCCI: I did, I'm sorry, I should have been more specific.

My question was dealing with the more global applications that we see. If an application for a nonessential business comes in, should we be deferring that until the time that there is no more decree to hold those business in closure?

MR. WEIR: Even if a company is not considered essential now, you think about it, they're going to want to get their approvals, everything in place so that when all of a sudden construction can start again in a couple of months, they want to be ready to go, they don't want to have to be waiting two more months to get approval, so I don't think it

2	should be matter today whether we're approving
3	a transaction if they're essential or not, I
4	think it's irrelevant.
5	MS. EADERESTO: Right.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: That way we don't slow
7	them down, either.
8	MS. EADERESTO: Right.
9	And just so the board knows, there's
10	COVID clauses going into leases and real
11	estate contracts right now, so, you know what,
12	we still have to go forward and you guys are
13	doing a great job on this conference call
14	today and kudos to Lisa and the staff for
15	putting this all together, thank God we went
16	paperless long ago and we still have to go
17	forward as much as we can.
18	MR. GRUCCI: I agree.
19	The reason why I asked the question is
20	in context of what we were just discussing a
21	moment ago.
22	If we give a nonessential business the

If we give a nonessential business the okay to enjoy the benefits that we have to offer and they do go forward with it, even though they're not ready to open their

2	businesses yet and we move forward with giving
3	people some relief and paying their PILOT
4	payments, we just added another business to
5	that relief of the PILOT payment.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: Felix, in this case,

MS. MULLIGAN: Felix, in this case,
this is an existing, UI Digital has been one
of our projects for a few years now and so
this is just allowing them to have a subtenant
in the existing space.

MR. GROSS: If I may --

MR. GRUCCI: I wasn't referring to this specific application.

MS. MULLIGAN: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. GRUCCI: I was referring in general if we take an application for a nonessential business and we grant them and they go forward and somehow they get their funding in place, then we just added another business to the concept of what we were just talking about, which is giving relief to businesses that are technically shut down.

MS. MULLIGAN: Right now we haven't received any applications. Not to say that one isn't coming in the mail right now, but I

2	think given the fact that most businesses are
3	on hold, I don't think we're going to see
4	heavy applications coming in April and May, so
5	I'm not sure that it's going to impact us, but
6	if we had an application, we could certainly
7	consider those factors.
8	MR. GRUCCI: Okay, that's fine. I just
9	wanted to put it out to discussion.
10	MS. LaPONTE: Although you're
11	nonessential, a lot of companies are still
12	operating remotely. We know of very many
13	companies that are setting up systems like
14	this. So it's just nonessential means they
15	can't work from their office, so a lot of
16	people have shifted their entire workforce
17	remotely to work in their homes, so
18	nonessential doesn't mean they can't work, it
19	just means that they can't go to their office
20	to work.
21	MS. SCHEIDT: That's an excellent
22	point, Lori. We have companies that have gone
23	over to entirely working at home.
2 4	MR. BRAUN: Are there any other

questions about UI Digital?

2	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
3	MR. BRAUN: Go ahead.
4	MR. TROTTA: I just want to ask, could
5	somebody give me a reason why we wouldn't want
6	to approve a sublease?
7	MR. BRAUN: No. I don't know a reason
8	why we wouldn't, but we have a separate
9	application and they have to come to us before
10	they can sign off with the owner of the
11	property.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Frank, we just want to
13	make sure we know who's in our building, so I
14	guess there could be a situation that somebody
15	could be wanting to move into our building
16	that this board wasn't comfortable having
17	under our umbrella.
18	MR. TROTTA: I got you.
19	MS. MULLIGAN: That's why we ask for
20	the subtenant application in part.
21	MR. TROTTA: I get it. Okay.
22	MR. GROSS: If I can give one minor
23	detail.
24	Roughly 80 percent of the building is
25	occupied by the related operating company of

the owner, which is why I think you did the project.

We knew from the beginning that they
were going to rent out a small amount of space
to subtenants to help them out with their cash
flow. So this is consistent with what they
originally expected to do.

MR. TROTTA: And again, I have no problem with the application, I just was asking in general terms for the future are there reasons, but you made a very good point, Lisa, we want to know who's in the building and maybe we wouldn't them in there for whatever reason, so thank you.

MS. MULLIGAN: We also want to make sure that they understand the rules of being in our building, so the tenant agency compliance agreement that Howard referenced before outlines the insurance that are required, the annual financial information, it's really job creation numbers that they must provide, so we want to make sure that they don't go I never agreed to any of this, they understand what it means to be in this

- 2 building. 3 MR. TROTTA: Excellent. Thank you. MR. WEIR: The other thing we need to 4 5 make sure that their use does not violate the 6 IDA Act. 7 MS. MULLIGAN: Good point. 8 MR. WEIR: Or it impacts their bonds. 9 It doesn't violate the tax on bonds for 10 construction facilities. So again, we want to 11 make sure that we're approving all tenants and 12 that's been the IDA's policy since the IDA was 13 created almost 50 years ago, so it's a good 14 policy. 15 MR. TROTTA: No, it makes sense. 16 MR. TULLO: I'm going to jump off the 17 call onto the other conference call. I will 18 jump back on and dial back in; if everybody's 19 there, I'll give you an update; if not, 20 everybody please stay safe and healthy. 21 MR. BRAUN: You, too. Thank you, Jim. 22 MR. TULLO: All right. Thank you all, 23 take care.
- 24 (James Tullo jumped off the call.) 25 MR. BRAUN: If there are no further

- 2 questions on UI Digital, I'll take a motion to
- 3 accept the application.
- 4 MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved.
- 5 MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
- 6 MR. BRAUN: Thank you very much.
- 7 All those in favor and let's go right
- 8 down the list:
- 9 Fred Braun yes.
- 10 Martin Callahan?
- MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky?
- MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta?
- MR. TROTTA: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci?
- MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt?
- MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Motion carries. Thank you.
- 21 Lisa.
- 22 MS. MULLIGAN: Was that for the
- application and the resolution, that motion?
- MR. BRAUN: Yes.
- MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. Thank you.

2	The ne	xt item on	the agenda	are our
3	resolutions.	The first	resolution	on the
1	agenda is for	Orbit Bloc	om.	

Just by way of background, we held a public hearing yesterday electronically.

There were no comments. Included in your packet is both the updated cost benefit analysis and the letter, the request from Orbit Bloom.

When we closed with them originally late February, their original application told us that their intention all along was to have an investor come in, so they closed with us late February and now the investor is set up, so this is to allow the investor to come into the project and that's why we had to have another public hearing, which we did the other day, there was no comment and just to let you know, we decided to adjust our fee schedule because in the original application it was contemplated that there would an investor, so we cut the fee down for the investor.

Bill, is there anything that you wanted to add to this?

1 2. MR. WEIR: No. Andrew, did you have anything you wanted to say? 5 (No response.) 6 MR. WEIR: Is Andrew Komaromi still on? 7 MR. KOMAROMI: I'm sorry, I was just on mute. No, really nothing additional to add. 9 10 We really appreciate the consideration. 11 MR. WEIR: Thank you. 12 MR. BRAUN: Bill, I have one question before we vote on this. 13 In their cover letter, it says the 14 15 investor owns, distributes and sells the 16 output to schools, municipalities, hospitals, 17 et cetera, et cetera. 18 I'm making the assumption after 19 discussing with Lisa that those facilities are 20 probably outside New York because in New York, 21 wouldn't they have to go through the Public

wouldn't they have to go through the Publ
Service Commission to do that?

MR. WEIR: Andrew, do you want to
answer that question?

MR. KOMAROMI: Yes, Bill.

2	That is correct. So the investor is
3	basically a very large national entity and I
4	think what is described in their cover letter
5	relates to their activities outside the state.
6	MR. WEIR: What you can do in New York
7	without being considered a public utility, if
8	you were to build a project like this that was
9	to service one or I think you can do it up to
10	a certain number of customers for their direct
11	needs, that's fine, but, you know, if you're
12	putting it into the grid, you do need to be a
13	regulated utility to get permission from
14	usually both first at the federal level and
15	Public Service Commission as well as the ISO,
16	which is the Independent Service Operators.
17	You need to be treated like any other, the
18	power projects we've done, the solar projects
19	or the gas turbine project.
20	MR. BRAUN: We need a motion to approve
21	the sale of the equity portion of this
22	project.
23	MR. TROTTA: I'll make a motion.
24	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
25	Second?

1 2. MS. SCHEIDT: Second. 3 MR. BRAUN: Thank you. Felix, you had a question? 4 5 MR. GRUCCI: No, no, I was just making 6 the motion, but that's fine. 7 MS. MULLIGAN: Did someone just join 8 the call? 9 MR. TULLO: Yes, it's Jim again. They 10 moved our conference call to 2:30, but I didn't want to interrupt the motion. 11 12 MR. BRAUN: Welcome back. 13 MR. TULLO: Thank you. Good to be 14 back, sir. 15 MR. BRAUN: There's a motion and a 16 second on the floor. 17 Are there any questions? 18 (No response.) MR. BRAUN: We'll go down the list 19 20 again, all those in favor. 21 Fred Braun, yes. 22 Marty Callahan? 23 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 24 MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky?

MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.

1 2. MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta? 3 MR. TROTTA: Yes. 4 MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci? 5 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 6 MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt? 7 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 8 MR. BRAUN: Motion carries. Thank you. 9 Lisa. 10 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. 11 The next resolution on the agenda is 12 for BRP. This to remind everybody is a 13 housing project proposed in Farmingville. 14 It's a 62-acre project with about 290 rental 15 units. There's a whole series of other pieces 16 that are on it. It's outlined in the cost 17 benefit analysis which is included in your 18 packets. 19 In addition, in your packets is the 20 letter that we received from the project which 21 basically outlines a request for a change in 22 ownership, the fee owner of the project and

We also did a public hearing for this

there's a proposed change and there's also a

new ownership structure that's outlined.

23

2	project. This one was also done
3	electronically yesterday, there were no
4	comments and Bill, did you have anything you
5	wanted to add to that?
6	MR. WEIR: No, you did a good job.
7	MR. GRUCCI: How did we handle these
8	types of applications in our new UTEP?
9	MS. MULLIGAN: We don't have a new UTEP
10	yet, it's still in the works, so we're still
11	working off of our old UTEP and this project,
12	the application came in while we were I
13	mean we still have our old UTEP, so
14	MS. EADERESTO: Under the new UTEP,
15	this is a hundred percent affordable, D&F
16	Bellport.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: No, no, we're on BRP.
18	MS. EADERESTO: Oh, I'm sorry. Oh,
19	Farmingville.
20	So this one is not subject to the
21	moratorium.
22	MR. BRAUN: We're in the moratorium.
23	For those that haven't reviewed everything,
24	this was Mike Kelly's project.
25	MR. WEIR: Okay, thank you.

1 MR. BRAUN: Take a motion? 3 MR. GRUCCI: So moved. MR. TROTTA: Second. 5 MR. BRAUN: All right. 6 Fred Braun votes yes. 7 Martin Callahan? MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 8 9 MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky? 10 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta? 11 12 MR. TROTTA: Yes. 13 MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci? MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt? 16 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 17 MR. BRAUN: Thank you. 18 Motion carries. MS. MULLIGAN: The next item on the 19 20 agenda is for D&F Bellport.

This actually in your packets is the

letter we received from them and also the cost

hearing that we did face to face, so this was

benefit analysis. This is the last public

I think two Fridays ago and there were no

21

22

23

24

2	comments at this public hearing, either.
3	Hold on, I'm just questioning myself.
4	Joc, is that accurate?
5	MS. LINSE: Let me check very quickly.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: Let me just look at
7	something because I don't want to give you
8	guys misinformation. I actually took a
9	picture of my white board which has notes on
10	all of these things.
11	(Pause.)
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Yup, there was no
13	comment.
14	So this, just to reiterate what Annette
15	just said, this is a 100 percent affordable
16	project proposed in our opportunity zone. So
17	the cost benefit analysis is in your packets
18	and also is a letter where they requested a
19	couple of changes to the application,
20	basically updates to the application. They
21	adjusted the total project cost. They also
22	requested a mortgage recording tax exemption.
23	They made some qualifications to the 69
24	affordable workforce housing units that are
25	proposed and they adjusted the number of

2	full-time equivalent employees from three to
3	two and a half. I think those were all the
4	changes.
5	Bill, did you have anything that you
6	wanted to add?
7	MR. WEIR: No, I think, Lisa, you
8	covered everything.
9	MR. BRAUN: Bill, my only question in
10	terms of the mortgage recording tax exemption,
11	I'm looking at the financing pieces.
12	Capital One is there, but then you have
13	the Community Preservation Corporation,
14	Suffolk County Infrastructure Development
15	subsidy funds and a Housing Trust Fund
16	Corporation.
17	Do those pieces normally have mortgage
18	recording tax?
19	MR. WEIR: They're really more treated
20	like equity instead of loans, so it would not
21	be mortgage recording tax that the IDA is
22	granting.
23	MR. BRAUN: But absence the IDA, would
24	be they be required to pay mortgage recording
25	tax on those pieces?

1 2. MR. WEIR: If those pieces secured by 3 loans --4 MS. EADERESTO: Yes. 5 MR. WEIR: Except some of them are 6 State agencies, so no. It depends on which 7 one. MR. BRAUN: Okay. 8 MR. WEIR: But they're not being 9 10 granted --MS. EADERESTO: Some of them aren't and 11 12 would be. If they're just non-for-profits, like I think LIHP is involved in there 13 14 somewhere, right, Bill? 15 MR. WEIR: Correct. 16 MS. EADERESTO: Yeah. So they would 17 pay it, but the State agencies wouldn't. 18 MR. BRAUN: Okay. Thank you.

A motion, please.

MR. BRAUN: Thank you.

Martin Callahan?

accept.

MR. GRUCCI: I'll make a motion to

MR. POLLAKUSKY: I'll second it.

As to the vote, Fred Braun yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2. MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 3 MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky? 4 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 5 MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta? MR. TROTTA: Yes. 6 7 MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt? 8 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 9 MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci? 10 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Motion carries. 11 12 Thank you. 13 MS. MULLIGAN: So the next resolution 14 on the agenda is for the Top Golf project. 15 So we received -- yes. Howard, do you 16 want to go over this? 17 MR. GROSS: It's up to you. 18 MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah, go ahead. 19 MR. GROSS: Okay. 20 So you approved this project this past 21 November and it included real estate tax 22 abatements and sales tax exemptions. 23 At the time, Top Golf was structuring 24

the transaction so they would own the land and

they would, of course, build and equip and

2	operate the project.
3	They have come back to us and simply
4	advised that instead of owning the land, they
5	want to convey the land to a REIT called
6	VEREIT Real Estate LP and they'll ground lease
7	the land, but they will continue to construct
8	and equipment and operate the project.
9	So there's no change in the benefits or
10	the recipient and the purpose of the
11	resolution is to recognize the slightly
12	revised structure.

MR. BRAUN: Questions of Howard?

MR. TROTTA: I got some questions.

Last month we had some visitors in the audience regarding this project, so if we were there today, we'd probably have the room packed again. So I go back to and some of us met or saw people outside, some of the leadership that was there and had conversations.

What is going on with regard to their concerns and the other part is I know Wayne Rogers is a consultant to the board, has he been involved in any of this and should he be?

2	MS. MULLIGAN: Go ahead, Annette.
3	MS. EADERESTO: I can answer.
4	So I have involved Wayne Rogers since
5	before the last IDA meeting. Lisa and I met
6	with him and the heads of the unions, I think
7	we recounted that, so I won't go into it
8	again. I've also been on the phone with him a
9	number of times in regard to this.
10	The last two contracts were awarded to
11	unions that weren't awarded when this came to
12	our attention, were awarded to unions, it may
13	not be the union shops they like all the time
14	because they want them local, local, but they
15	have been awarded to unions is my
16	understanding.
17	In addition, Lisa has sent around a
18	list of contracts on Top Golf. They made it
19	seem like the only union working on this job
20	was the excavation union, you know.
21	MS. MULLIGAN: The unions made it seem
22	like that.
23	MS. EADERESTO: The unions made it
24	seems like that and then Lisa sent us all
25	around an email that showed there were a lot

2	more union contracts, correct, Lisa?
3	MS. MULLIGAN: I don't know if I sent
4	it to the whole board, I'm not sure if it went
5	out to everybody, but yes, we discussed this I
6	think at the last meeting, I'm not positive,
7	but I got something from the project showing
8	that approximately 80 percent of the contracts
9	that had been awarded had gone to local shops.
10	Now off the top of my head and I
11	know it's being transcribed so don't quote me
12	on this but I believe it was about
13	40 percent were local and 30 percent were
14	40 and change were local and 30 percent were
15	local and union and the total came to about
16	80 percent. So there was, in fact, our
17	provision is we encourage local and they had
18	met that.
19	MR. TROTTA: When they say 80 percent,
20	is that 80 percent of the funded project or
21	you know
22	MS. MULLIGAN: What is your question,
23	Frank?
24	MR. TROTTA: Is it 80 percent of the
25	dollars or is it 80 percent of the project?

2	MS. MULLIGAN: Eighty percent of the
3	dollars spent.
4	MR. TROTTA: Okay.
5	Can we get some of those details?
6	MS. MULLIGAN: Frank, I believe you may
7	be the only board member who actually got it,
8	I handed it to you after our board meeting.
9	MR. TROTTA: And I have that. That
10	reflects 80 percent? That I didn't realize.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: Well, that was
12	80 percent of what they had handed out at that
13	point. Subsequent to that, we've been told
14	that two more contracts have gone out and
15	those both went to union shops.
16	MR. TROTTA: Okay. And again, we're
17	talking about dollars. I don't have it in
18	front of me, so I
19	MS. MULLIGAN: The 80 percent was
20	dollars, the two more, I don't know what
21	dollar amounts those were, I don't have that
22	information, either.
23	MR. TROTTA: Okay.
24	So if 80 percent of the project is what
25	you say and what they said to us and

2	documented to us, what's the problem that is
3	being brought to our attention at the board
4	meeting and what has Wayne Rogers reported
5	back to you, Annette?
6	MS. EADERESTO: The last I spoke to
7	Wayne Rogers, they still weren't really happy
8	with, you know, the fact that a union got it
9	because if it's not a local union, the guys
L 0	he's dealing with are not happy.
11	MR. TROTTA: Got you.
12	MS. EADERESTO: But I think Top Golf
13	has basically tried to address the board's
L 4	concerns, I have to say that.
15	MR. TROTTA: Okay. Well, that's what I
16	wanted to know.
L 7	Obviously the reason we're all here and
L 8	we're doing what we're doing is to provide
19	local work, so, you know and trying to keep
2 0	the money locally, so if you feel comfortable
21	with that and he's reported back that, I feel
22	better about it.
23	MR. POLLAKUSKY: I agree, Frank. We're
2.4	here to keep the work local.

I also believe perhaps there's some

2	type of a one-sheeter or language that we
3	could include in future agreements perhaps
4	that states this stuff; is that possible,
5	guys?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. POLLAKUSKY: And I understand that
8	the Suffolk County IDA has like a one-sheeter
9	as we were told by some of the union reps
10	there that there's some type of a it's
11	almost like a I don't know if it's a letter
12	of intent or what it's exactly called, but
13	it's language that not so much mandates, but
14	requires the contract to consider local unions
15	and local workforce.
16	MR. TROTTA: Maybe that's somebody
17	MS. MULLIGAN: That's not our policy.
18	MR. TROTTA: No, we know it's not the
19	policy, we said could we get a copy of that
20	from Suffolk to review as a board?
21	MS. MULLIGAN: I can request it, I'm
22	sure they'd share it with us.
23	MR. TROTTA: Okay. That would be
24	great.
25	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Okay.

2	MR. GRUCCI: Annette, the work that was
3	let out to the unions that were outside the
4	geographical boundaries of Brookhaven, was
5	that unique work or specialty work that may
6	not have been under the expertise of the local
7	unions?
8	MS. EADERESTO: No. It was just they
9	probably got a better price from the
10	contractor with the union outside, you know,
11	they're a company and you know, they're
12	shopping it around.
13	MR. GRUCCI: I was just wondering if
14	the work that was being performed was unique
15	and needed to go beyond the boundaries of
16	Brookhaven to find people to do it.
17	MS. EADERESTO: No. We had union shops
18	here. I think that the price was just higher.
19	MR. GRUCCI: Okay. That's certainly a
20	consideration.
21	MS. EADERESTO: Understood.
22	MR. BRAUN: Has anybody driven by it
23	recently; is construction continuing?
24	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
25	MR. TULLO: It's funny, Lisa and I were

2	having this conversation this morning. I ran
3	out quickly this morning and figured who
4	knows, maybe during the presentation, a
5	question may be asked.
6	They were going gangbusters at 9:00
7	this morning when I drove by, so they're
8	MS. EADERESTO: Are they still working
9	on the steel or are they passed that now?
10	MR. TULLO: They were still working on
11	the steel, they were doing some I saw some
12	drainage rings, I think they're working on I
13	mean all facets of the project, they were full
1 4	of people, I would say there's probably over a
15	hundred people on site in different areas of
16	the property, so they're working all around.
17	MS. EADERESTO: Well, that's
18	frightening on so many levels, but go ahead.
19	MR. BRAUN: Are there any other
2 0	questions?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, we need a
23	motion to accept their request for a change in
2 4	ownership within the corporate structure that
2.5	they already had.

1 2. MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved. MS. SCHEIDT: Second. 3 4 MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Fred Braun 5 votes yes. 6 Martin Callahan? 7 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 8 MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky? 9 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 10 MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta? MR. TROTTA: Yes. 11 12 MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt? 13 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci? 14 15 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 16 MR. BRAUN: Thank you. Motion carries. 17 Back to you, Lisa. 18 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. 19 The next item on the agenda is Triple 20 5. 21 About two weeks ago, we sent them a

About two weeks ago, we sent them a

default letter, told them they had until

yesterday to get us the updated information.

Basically they failed to send in their annual

report and their insurance had lapsed and also

their sales tax tracking was not up to date.

A couple of days ago we received the annual report, the sales tax tracking and two of the insurances. We still do not have Workers' Comp. or disability and we're waiting for our insurance risk manager to review the insurances that they did send. We received most of the items, but I present it to the board for your consideration. We told them they had until yesterday to cure the default.

MR. BRAUN: My thought is as follows:

They have done little or nothing with the former Dowling facility since we closed on it. However, they got some other things going on right now, not the least of which is the Mall of America or whatever -- no, that's not the name of it, the Mall of America is out in Minneapolis.

MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah.

MR. BRAUN: The one in New Jersey that they took over was supposed to have its grand opening this week and as we know, New Jersey has shut down everything, so they've got some other things going on.

2	Despite my frustrations to their lack
3	of progress here, I would recommend we give
4	them a 30-day period to cure whatever needs to
5	be cured for the default.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: I will just tell you,
7	all of these documents were due to us the end
8	of 2019, beginning of 2020, so if they had
9	provided them in a timely fashion, this would
10	have been a nonissue.
11	MR. BRAUN: Understand, but when did
12	the default letter go out?
13	MS. MULLIGAN: Two weeks ago. About
14	two weeks ago. I was in the office, so it was
15	before all of this happened, but had they
16	responded like the lion's share of our
17	projects when they were supposed to, would
18	have had nothing to do with the current state
19	of the world. I just mention that.
20	MR. BRAUN: I should also mention and I
21	don't know if it's gone any further, but the
22	County looked at that building as a
23	possibility for additional hospital beds. I'm
2 4	aware that they looked at it, I'm not aware of
25	any further consideration. As we know, stony

thoughts?

2	Brook appears to be at the top of the list and
3	I heard and Felix did, too, as just recently
4	as yesterday, I guess, that I thought it was
5	going to be in one of Stony Brook's athletic
6	facilities, it sounded like they're going to
7	put tents out in the parking lot.
8	MS. EADERESTO: No. Fred, it's
9	actually in the basketball arena and it's
10	already up and running.
11	MR. BRAUN: Okay. I'm glad to hear
12	that then.
13	MS. EADERESTO: And medics are coming
14	from the National Guard and they're going to
15	take over from the doctors and nurses and
16	they're going to screen people so that the
17	less sick people are not going into the
18	hospital and it's going to be an area where
19	people with flu-like symptoms can go so they
20	can be tested and hopefully they don't have it
21	and dealt with there and not jamming up our
22	hospitals.
23	MR. BRAUN: That's good news.
24	Back to the issue at hand; other

2	MR. CALLAHAN: So, Lisa, what you're
3	telling us, that the 30-day band-aids are not
4	going to do anything?
5	MS. MULLIGAN: No. Honestly I'm not
6	sure. I think a 30-day band-aid will do
7	something because when we sent them the
8	default, suddenly they woke up and they sent
9	us 9/10's of the documents.
10	My issue or my point with this is that
11	if they had sent it in a timely fashion, we
12	wouldn't have had to have stopped what we were
13	doing, send them a default, remind them that
14	they needed to respond to us.
15	They still have time to get their job
16	creation numbers up, they have time, all of
17	this was built into the original agreement,
18	it's just disappointing that it's so early on
19	and they're already disregarding our rules,
20	but taking advantage of the benefits.
21	MR. BRAUN: And the action we can take
22	is suspend their benefits and seek to
23	recapture.
24	MR. GRUCCI: Well, I tend to agree with
25	your first position, Fred. I think we should

2	send them a very stiff letter letting them
3	know without any uncertainty that there will
4	be no more letters like this coming and that
5	they have to get their documents into us
6	within the 30 days.
7	MR. CALLAHAN: I agree, Felix.
8	MR. POLLAKUSKY: I agree with you as
9	well.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: Bill?
11	MS. SCHEIDT: Yeah, absolutely. Let's
12	give them a little more time, but hit them
13	over the head again to get their attention.
14	MR. BRAUN: Yeah, Bill, do you need
15	that in the form of a motion?
16	MR. WEIR: Yeah, we should put that in
17	a motion.
18	MR. BRAUN: Felix, I think you started
19	it.
20	MR. GRUCCI: Yeah. So moved.
21	MR. POLLAKUSKY: I'll second.
22	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
23	Fred Braun votes yes.
24	Marty Callahan?
25	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

1 2. MR. BRAUN: Gary Pollakusky? MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 3 4 MR. BRAUN: Frank Trotta? 5 MR. TROTTA: Yes. 6 MR. BRAUN: Ann-Marie Scheidt? 7 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 8 MR. BRAUN: Felix Grucci? 9 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 10 MR. BRAUN: Okay. We'll give them an additional 30 days and that's it. Either they 11 do it or we'll take the action we need to 12 13 take. 14 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. 15 The next item on the Agenda is a letter 16 that we received --MR. BRAUN: You know what --17 18 MS. MULLIGAN: Should we take it out of 19 order? 20 MR. BRAUN: No, I have a suggestion. 21 Suppose we take all of the other items, 22 we leave 14 Glover at the end and Felix and I 23 will recuse ourselves and you can close the

meeting after that unless there's some other

reason to keep it going.

24

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MULLIGAN: Oka	ay.
. IID. HULLIGAN	• 01/2 (

So I'm going to take some items out of order. I'm going to skip over the 14 Glover letter for a moment and just give you a quick update on our sales tax.

Just so that you're aware, we extended the time period for three of our current projects. We extended it not only how long they can use their sales tax, but what their completion date is for United Rentals, Holtsville Industrial and AVR Chelsea, they wrote to us and said that they needed more time and we recaptured AVR Chelsea. I've been told that a check is in the mail, it's en route to us for a recapture. They inadvertently used their sales tax exemption after the December 31st completion date, which they were not permitted to, so we have to send them a recapture letter. They're sending us the check, which then goes to the State, it's for sales tax, so none of it stays with us and there's penalties and interest and then we're also in the process of calculating recaptures on the EB and HSRE Mount Sinai projects;

2	sorry, I should have put both EB and HSRE
3	Mount Sinai on that list.
4	So, Bill, I think these are all your
5	projects, but does this need to be a
6	resolution or am I just giving them a heads-up
7	as to
8	MR. WEIR: You're just giving them a
9	heads-up because you have the authority under
1 0	a blanket resolution to give the extensions.
11	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
12	Are there any questions on these?
13	(No response.)
1 4	MR. BRAUN: Before 14 Glover is
15	addressed, any other items that anyone would
1 6	like to bring to the meeting, to the attention
17	of the board or attorneys or staff?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. BRAUN: If not
20	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Real quick.
21	In our last meeting, obviously there
22	was a large number of people who were in
23	attendance expecting to, I guess through their
2 4	representative, be heard and I understand the

circumstances by which that person was not

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there, but perhaps there can be some leniency in cases like that so that we are considerate of the group's time or the folks who decide to attend this meeting that we allow a transfer of representation on the docket so that at least they could be heard. I think that probably would have gone a long way with some of those folks --

MS. MULLIGAN: Gary, I just want to let you know that Annette and I met with them, so we gave them time then and they were told before our public hearing to participate in our public hearing. They chose not to and then they wanted to come to our board meeting. I feel a little bit like if they had followed the advice that they were given and participated, that they wouldn't have had to come and in addition, I invited one of their people to come and speak. He called me the morning of the board meeting and told me he was not going to be there and told me to remove him from the agenda. He didn't say I can't make it, but so and so is going to come in my place.

2	MR. POLLAKUSKY: I didn't know that.
3	Well, thank you for clarifying that. They
4	probably should have attended.
5	My question is on the leniency of
6	switching out one person versus another person
7	in that type of a forum, is that a
8	possibility, do our rules preclude us
9	MS. EADERESTO: Gary, that was their
10	failure, not ours. We certainly would have
11	let anyone speak.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah.
13	MS. EADERESTO: He said that if he
14	couldn't speak to us in executive session,
15	then he wasn't speaking to the board. That's
16	what he told Lisa.
17	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Oh, okay.
18	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah.
19	MS. EADERESTO: And there was no way
20	that this would have fit under executive
21	session.
22	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Got you.
23	MS. EADERESTO: There's rules for that.
24	It was their failure and then he tried
25	to pin it back on Lisa.

2	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Got it.
3	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Annette.
4	MS. EADERESTO: You're welcome, Lisa.
5	MR. TROTTA: I agree with everything
6	everybody said, but the end result is that and
7	maybe I've attended too many public meetings
8	or whatever, the image of the board didn't
9	come across all that well. I get the fact
10	that what happened, who said what to who, but
11	for the individuals who were in that room, we
12	came off looking bad and it's almost like we
13	were set up for it in the sense that they told
1 4	you one thing and they did another.
15	So, Lisa, I clearly understand, but the
16	end result is I thought the board looked bad.
17	So I think that's what I as a member of the
18	board would like to address.
19	You guys did everything right in a
2 0	sense that you met with them, you were giving
21	them an opportunity. He declined it. But he
22	made us look bad because he didn't pass any of
23	that on or allow anybody else to speak and
2 4	they came to speak, so, you know, we were the

victims of it, no question about it, but at

2	the end of the day, all these individuals go
3	home who know nothing about nothing other than
1	they were told to be there and we look bad.
	So that's

MS. MULLIGAN: Frank, a number of the gentlemen who were in the audience were also in that meeting when Annette and I met with them a few weeks prior and they were all told clearly that we don't have public comment at our board meeting and the time to come was to our public hearing, so although I totally see what you're saying because there was a lot of people there --

MR. TROTTA: Lisa, I'm not saying you,
Annette, anybody else did anything wrong. I'm
saying the end result of the whole thing is we
look bad, period, that's it. Not that you did
something wrong, we didn't tell them. That
didn't happen. Everybody did everything
right. The end result was the board sat there
and looked bad. And if you don't agree with
that, let me know, but I think we looked bad.

MS. SCHEIDT: Well, Frank, is your suggestion that in that kind of situation, we

2	should make some representative, Lisa or Fred
3	or someone else, should make a public
4	statement to those folks recapitulating the
5	steps that got them there and indicating our
6	disappointment that they neither came to the
7	public hearing that they were invited to come
8	to nor did their representatives who had been
9	given (inaudible) on the agenda pass things
10	off or pass it onto somebody else?
11	MS. EADERESTO: Hold on.
12	(Pause.)
13	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
14	MR. TROTTA: I'm not totally sure what
15	I'm saying, you know, but that is, you know,
16	if we made a more detailed statement to them,
17	you know, maybe they wouldn't have liked it
18	any better, but at least I would have felt
19	like we I don't know.
20	Lisa, do you understand what I'm
21	saying? It is not
22	MS. EADERESTO: Frank, it's Annette.
23	MR. TROTTA: Annette, you've been with
24	me as I ran zoning board meetings, you've been
25	with me in village meetings.

2	MS. EADERESTO: Right.
3	MR. TROTTA: We've been in these kind
4	of situations, so I just think we didn't look
5	good and
6	MS. EADERESTO: I understand what
7	you're saying and I understand where
8	MR. TROTTA: I'd like to avoid it
9	for the future, that's all I'm saying
10	MS. EADERESTO: Well, here's the thing.
11	MR. TROTTA: and I would hope
12	everybody would agree with that.
13	I don't know how to avoid it, I'm just
1 4	putting out a problem and asking for help on
15	it, that's all.
16	MS. EADERESTO: All right, but we
17	can't, in my opinion, they all thought,
18	through bad advice from their union leaders,
19	that they were all going to get an opportunity
2 0	to speak because I walked through them when I
21	was leaving and they were going what do you
22	mean we're not all going to get to speak and
23	we would have been there for five hours.
2 4	MR. TROTTA: And we don't want to
2.5	listen to them.

2	MS. EADERESTO: No, I'm not saying we
3	don't want to listen to them, I'm just
4	saying
5	MR. TROTTA: No, no. We're not going
6	to listen to 50 people saying the same thing.
7	We would have maybe listened to one person had
8	they been designated to do such, I think.
9	MR. BRAUN: And he was invited to do
L 0	that and he chose not to come.
1	MR. POLLAKUSKY: And my point is I
12	understand that.
13	Is it possible, would one way to have
4	resolved the situation been to have given
15	somebody else that opportunity in that group,
L 6	one person that spot that was slated for the
17	other representative, that's all I'm saying
L 8	and I'm not saying by any means, I echo
19	Frank's comments as well, that Lisa or anybody
20	has done anything wrong, I just felt like it
21	left us all in a precarious position.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: I'm sorry. I just have
23	to point out to everyone, they drove around
2.4	the entire Town with our names and phone

numbers on the back of a truck. They sat in

1	
2	front of Town Hall with our names and our
3	phone numbers on the back of a truck and then
4	we're supposed to afford them extra
5	consideration?
6	I met with them even after that, which
7	Annette can tell you was not pleasant.
8	MR. TROTTA: Lisa, don't take it
9	personal. I'm talking about
10	MS. MULLIGAN: I'm not upset with
11	anything that we did, I understand that.
12	MR. TROTTA: We as a board, we did not
13	look good.
14	Did you think we looked good when we
15	all left that day?
16	MS. EADERESTO: Can I answer that?
17	I think they looked bad.
18	MR. TROTTA: No, I want to ask Lisa
19	because she keeps
20	MS. EADERESTO: No, but I want to say
21	to you, Frank, they looked bad. They looked
22	bad because they were given the opportunity to
23	speak. The guy only wanted to speak to you

behind closed doors, which is not allowed and

then he gave somebody a letter with bad

24

21 off.

22 MR. TROTTA: I get all --

23 MS. EADERESTO: Okay, Felix.

24 (Mr. Grucci dropped off of the call.)

25 MR. TROTTA: No, I get all of that.

2	I'm not thinking of the leaders, the
3	leadership that was there, they obviously knew
4	everything that you're telling me. I'm
5	thinking of the guy that's the laborers that
6	were sitting in the back of the room that were
7	brought in there to, you know, give us a hard
8	time. I'm thinking about them going home and
9	how the Town of Brookhaven looked because
10	that's who we are when we sit there. We're
11	not the IDA, we're the Town of Brookhaven and
12	that's what I'm thinking about and if you
13	don't agree with me, it's okay, but again,
14	Lisa, don't take it personal. I have zero
15	feeling towards you to having done something
16	in a negative way. You did everything
17	positive and I get it.
18	MS. MULLIGAN: Frank
19	MR. TROTTA: No, let me finish.
20	I've been kicked by those same people
21	many times over board meetings that I've run
22	and I've had to deal with them in a way that I

I've been kicked by those same people many times over board meetings that I've run and I've had to deal with them in a way that I may not have wanted to, so what I'm telling you is things that I've experienced and I've done, so I'm not coming out of left field.

2	MS. MULLIGAN: Frank, I can tell you I
3	didn't take it personally at all. I'm
4	perfectly fine. We're good. You guys should
5	always feel free to tell me how you feel about
6	a situation or a circumstance.
7	MR. TROTTA: You know, I had a
8	conversation with Annette after the meeting,
9	she told me I was wrong, so, you know, I heard
10	that, too, but I still feel the obligation to
11	tell her, to speak to the board and let you
12	know how I feel and how I think we looked and
13	that's it. I'm just trying to avoid a future
1 4	problem, if we're able to, that's all.
15	MR. BRAUN: Okay.
16	MR. TROTTA: I think we beat a dead
17	horse, Fred.
18	MR. BRAUN: You got one more item on
19	the agenda, but I need to Felix has already
20	dropped off, I need to recuse myself, so I'll
21	let you continue and unless somebody else has
22	something else to bring up now, you can
23	adjourn the meeting after that, after that
2 4	item.

MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.

2	MS. EADERESTO: Thank you, Fred, bye.
3	(Mr. Braun dropped off of the call.)
4	MS. EADERESTO: Let the record reflect
5	that Felix Grucci and Fred Braun have recused
6	themselves from the discussion on 14 Glover
7	Lane, LLC.
8	Lisa, are you going to lead it or Bill?
9	MS. MULLIGAN: Yup, yup. It's actually
10	Howard's project.
11	The next item on the agenda is a
12	request that we were received from 14 Glover,
13	the letter is in your packets and to remind
14	the board members, 14 Glover is the former
15	Foley Nursing Home, the address is 14 Glover
16	that Brookhaven Memorial Hospital/Long Island
17	Community Hospital purchased.
18	Their intention was I think a portion
19	of it the hospital would take and use and then
20	the additional floors were going to go to
21	for-profit entities. They have been unable to
22	secure leases with for-profit entities and so
23	they sent us a letter requesting that their
24	completion date, which was I think December
25	31, 2019 be extended to December 31, 2020 and

2	they	als	so aske	ed if	we	WOU	ıld	redu	ıce	the	9 5	secon	ιd
3	half	of	their	PILOT	Γ, 1	the	sec	ond	hal	fc	of	this	;
4	year	to	zero.										

They've already made approximately \$90,000 in the first half PILOT payment and so they're asking for the second half to be reduced to zero.

Howard, did you have anything that you wanted to add?

MR. GROSS: Yes, if I may.

Last month you essentially approved doing this by suspending the PILOT and with the understanding they were going to file for a tax exemption as a not-for profit, which I understand they have done and of course, they have discussions going on with Stony Brook about the affiliation between the two companies and they're not certain how it's going to affect, as I understand it, they're not certain how it's going to affect the facility, so, but out of an abundance of caution, I thought that and I think Lisa felt that we should hold a public hearing before you take the official action to abate all of

1 the real estate taxes for the second half, 2 this is actually what they're requesting, because their application for exemption won't 5 be effective till December 1st of this year, 6 so they've asked us to eliminate all of the PILOT, any, so there's no taxes whatsoever on it or PILOT whatsoever on the second half. 9 MS. EADERESTO: And under the 10 circumstances right now, it makes total sense. 11 We need to help all our health institutions. 12 Okay, so do we have a motion? MR. TROTTA: I would like to make a 13 motion. 14 15 MS. SCHEIDT: I would like to second 16 Frank's motion. 17 MS. EADERESTO: Do you want to do a roll call, Lisa? 18 19 MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, let's do a roll 20 call.

21 Marty?

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

MS. MULLIGAN: Ann-Marie?

MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.

MS. MULLIGAN: Frank?

1 2. MR. TROTTA: Yes. 3 MS. MULLIGAN: Gary? MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes. 4 5 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. Passes. 6 Hearing no other questions or no other 7 comments, the only other item that we have to 8 do is close the meeting. 9 MS. EADERESTO: Do we have a motion? 10 MS. MULLIGAN: Do we have a motion? MR. POLLAKUSKY: So moved. 11 MS. SCHEIDT: Second the motion to 12 adjourn. 13 14 MS. EADERESTO: Lisa, do the vote to 15 adjourn. 16 MS. MULLIGAN: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 Marty? 18 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. MS. MULLIGAN: Ann-Marie? 19 20 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 21 MS. MULLIGAN: Frank? 22 MR. TROTTA: Yes.

23 MS. MULLIGAN: Gary?

24 MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.

25 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.

```
1
                   Everybody stay healthy.
 2
 3
                   MS. EADERESTO: All right everybody,
 4
          take care.
 5
                   MS. MULLIGAN: Bye everyone.
 6
 7
                   (Time noted: 1:46 p.m.)
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
                 I, JOANN O'LOUGHLIN, a Notary Public
15
    for and within the State of New York, do hereby
    certify that the above is a correct transcription
16
17
    of my stenographic notes.
18
19
                               JOANN O'LOUGHLIN
20
21
22
23
24
25
```